For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	KEN WALTERS, et al.,	No. C-06-01906 WHA (DMR)
11	Plaintiffs,	Consolidated with
12	v.	No. C-06-05396 WHA (DMR)
13	GOLDEN GATE CRANE & RIGGING, INC.,	ORDER RE SERVICE OF ORDER SETTING DEBTOR'S EXAMINATION
14	Defendant.	OF JUDGMENT DEBTOR ROBERT NEWBERRY
15		NEWBERKI
16	KEN WALTERS, et al.,	
17	Plaintiffs,	
18	V.	
19	GOLDEN GATE CRANE & RIGGING, INC.,	
20	ROBERT NEWBERRY, SUE NEWBERRY, et al.	
21	Defendants.	
22	/	
23		
24	On December 7, 2011, the court set these matters for a debtor's examination of Robert	
25	Newberry, as an officer of Judgment Debtor Golden Gate Crane & Rigging and in his personal	
26	capacity, to take place on January 18, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. at the U.S. District Court, 1301 Clay Street,	
27	Oakland, California 94612. [Docket Nos. 145 (Case No. 06-1906); Docket No. 106 (Case No. 06-	
28		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5396).] The court also ordered Plaintiffs to serve a copy of the December 7, 2011 Order on Defendants and to e-file a proof of service on the same day that service is effected.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 69 directs courts to the "procedure of the state where the court is located" for guidance as to the execution and enforcement of money judgments. Fed. R. Civ. P. 69(a)(1). California law provides this court may issue an order for the examination of a judgment debtor upon an ex parte application by a judgment creditor "[i]f the judgment creditor has not caused the judgment debtor to be examined . . . during the preceding 120 days " Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 708.110(b). The judgment creditor must "personally serve a copy of the order on the judgment debtor not less than 10 days before the date set for the examination. Service shall be made in the manner specified in [California Code of Civil Procedure] Section 415.10 " Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 708.110(d).

According to the proofs of service filed by Plaintiffs, it does not appear that the December 7, 2011 Order has been personally served on Defendants. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are ordered to personally serve the court's December 7, 2011 Order on Defendants by no later than January 9, **2012,** and to e-file a proof of service on the same day that service is effected. In the alternative, Plaintiffs may file a request that the court vacate the January 18, 2012 debtor's examination and set a new date for the examination so that Plaintiffs may properly effect service on Defendants pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 708.110(d). Any such request shall be filed by no later than January 9, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 3, 2012

DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge